

# Spin Divergence Induced by Exohedral Modification: ESR Study of $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ Fullero-pyrrolidine\*\*


Taishan Wang, Jingyi Wu, Wei Xu, Junfeng Xiang, Xin Lu, Bao Li, Li Jiang, Chunying Shu,\* and Chunru Wang\*

Endohedral metallofullerenes have attracted wide interest because of their novel structures and potential applications in a variety of fields, such as nanotechnology and biomedical applications.<sup>[1–3]</sup> Exohedral functionalization plays a critical role not only in improving solubility and processability of metallofullerenes for expanding their practical applications, including photovoltaic cells,<sup>[4]</sup> magnetic resonance imaging agents,<sup>[5]</sup> and radiotracers,<sup>[6]</sup> but also in controlling the position of encapsulated atoms and corresponding properties. Thus, the characteristics of endofullerenes become more diversified, and these help in designing novel materials with controllable electronic and magnetic properties.<sup>[7–13]</sup> The abundant dimetal-encapsulated and trimetallic nitride template encapsulated  $\text{C}_{80}$  species and their derivatives have been studied in detail.<sup>[1–3,7–10]</sup> However, little was known about the derivatives and corresponding properties for the metal carbide encapsulated fullerenes, such as  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ , even though its pristine structure has been studied in detail since its discovery.<sup>[14–20]</sup> In view of its elusive structure and alluring ESR spectrum, it is significant to investigate the effect of exohedrally functional groups on the elusive structures and properties of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ .<sup>[17–20]</sup>

Herein, we report the spin divergence in  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine induced by exohedral modification by the Prato reaction.<sup>[21,22]</sup> The structure of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine was characterized by NMR, UV/Vis, and IR spectroscopy, as well as theoretical studies.


The synthesis of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine was carried out in *o*-dichlorobenzene with a solution of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ , *N*-ethylglycine, and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched paraformaldehyde. The prod-

uct was isolated and purified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and identified as fullero-pyrrolidine monoadduct by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** HPLC profile of reaction mixture (*N*-ethylglycine and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched paraformaldehyde) treated with  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  for 10 min. The insert shows the MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for the monoadduct.

Although the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine monoadduct is paramagnetic, the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum exhibits a singlet at  $\delta = 71.62$  ppm for the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled methylene carbon (Figure 2),



**Figure 2.**  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine monoadduct. The insert depicts the partial structure of the monoadduct. The yellow bonds show the adjacent 5- and 6-membered rings around the addend, and the red atom represents the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled methylene carbon atom.

indicating that the low spin density distribution of cluster close to the addend does not significantly affect the pyrrolidine group, and the 5,6-ring junction is the reaction site for  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine as in pyrrodino  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N}@\text{C}_{80}\text{-I}_h$  case.<sup>[23,24]</sup> The heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC) spectrum verifies the non-equivalent methylene protons attached to the equivalent methylene carbon atoms, which is consistent with the 5,6-ring addition assignment of the fullero-pyrrolidine (see the Supporting Information).

Noticeably, although the geminal methylene protons on the pyrrolidine ring of the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fullero-pyrrolidine

[\*] Dr. T.-S. Wang, Dr. J.-Y. Wu, Dr. W. Xu, Dr. J.-F. Xiang, Dr. B. Li, Dr. L. Jiang, Prof. Dr. C.-Y. Shu, Prof. Dr. C.-R. Wang  
Institute of Chemistry, The Chinese Academy of Sciences  
Beijing, 100190 (China)  
Fax: (+86) 10-6265-2120  
E-mail: shucy@iccas.ac.cn  
crwang@iccas.ac.cn  
Homepage: <http://spm.iccas.ac.cn/english/members/crwang.htm>  
Prof. Dr. X. Lu  
State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surface  
Center for Theoretical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry  
Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005 (China)


[\*\*] We thank Dr. Lai Feng and Dr. Ning Chen for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the 973 Program (2006CB300402), NSFC (20821003, 20702053), Important National Science & Technology Specific Projects (2008ZX05013-004), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200906325>.

monoadduct exhibit similar chemical shifts and splitting pattern to that of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N@C}_{80}\text{-}I_h$  analogue,<sup>[23,24]</sup> the chemical shift difference ( $\Delta\delta = 4.39\text{--}3.04 = 1.35$ ) of the methylene geminal protons is larger than that of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N@C}_{80}\text{-}I_h$  fulleropyrrolidine ( $\Delta\delta = 4.07\text{--}2.81 = 1.26$ ). Besides the different ring currents derived from the adjacent 5- and 6-membered rings,<sup>[24,25]</sup> the different encapsulated metal clusters also should contribute to this large degree of deshielding/shielding effect.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was employed to elucidate the structures of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  and  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine (see the Supporting Information). For  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct, signals at around 693 and 714  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represent the antisymmetric Sc–C stretching vibrations, which are different from that of pristine  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  with single signal at 670  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . This change can be ascribed to the changed configuration of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster resulting from the exohedral addend.


The geometry of the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct was further investigated by DFT calculations.<sup>[26,27]</sup> The results demonstrate that the molecule has a mirror plane splitting the pyrrolidine ring, and the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  endocluster has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry, reduced from  $C_{3v}$  symmetry in pristine  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  (Figure 3).<sup>[17–20]</sup> For the inner  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster, one



**Figure 3.** Optimized structure (left; N blue, Sc yellow, C gray, H white) and calculated spin density distribution (right) of the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct.

Sc atom is located at bottom of the cage and far away from the addend; and the other two Sc atoms are close to the pyrrolidine ring and positioned symmetrically. Such an assignment of inner cluster is consistent with the electrostatic potential map for the calculated 5,6-adduct of  $[\text{C}_{80}\text{-(CH}_2)_2\text{NH}]^{6-}$ ,<sup>[28]</sup> for which the electrostatic potentials have a minimum at the bottom of the cage and far away from the addend, thus leading to one Sc atom located near the energy minimum and the other two Sc atoms repulse from each other to minimize the energy further.<sup>[28]</sup> Therefore, the chemical functionalization on metallofullerene  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  can change the configuration of the inner  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster effectively and can be used to control the location of Sc atoms intentionally.

Figure 4 shows the experimental and simulated ESR spectra of the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct. Surprisingly, the spectra of monoadduct and pristine



**Figure 4.** Experimental and simulated ESR spectra of the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct.

$\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  differ substantially (Table 1).<sup>[14–16]</sup> Splitting values of 8.602 (one nucleus) and 4.822 G (two nuclei) and a  $g$  value of 2.0007 were observed for the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine

**Table 1:** Scandium hyperfine coupling constants ( $\alpha_{\text{Sc}}$ ) and  $g$  values of pristine  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  and  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine.<sup>[a]</sup>

| Sample                                | $\alpha_{\text{Sc}}$ [G]     | $g$ value                       |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$ | 6.256 (6.51 <sup>[b]</sup> ) | 2.0006 (1.9985 <sup>[b]</sup> ) |
| monoadduct                            | 8.602; 4.822; 4.822          | 2.0007                          |

[a] All experiments were performed at room temperature in *o*-DCB. [b] The  $\alpha_{\text{Sc}}$  and  $g$  values of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  are taken from reference [15] and were measured at 220 K in  $\text{CS}_2$ .

monoadduct, compared with 6.256 G (three nuclei) and 2.0006, respectively, for  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$ . This ESR pattern of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine is also different from that of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}(\text{Ad})$  (Ad = adamantylidene) (20.55, 5.479 MHz). As the hyperfine coupling constants (hfcc) of Sc nuclei are related to the spin density near the Sc atoms, the spin density distributions were calculated to elucidate the paramagnetic property of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine. As shown in Figure 3, the spin density for  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine is localized exclusively on the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  endocluster inhomogeneously; the higher spin density is localized on a unique Sc nucleus far away from the pyrrolidine addend, whereas the lower spin density is localized on the other two Sc nuclei homogeneously. In contrast, the pristine  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  has the most spin localization on the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster as well but each Sc nucleus has the same spin density.<sup>[19]</sup> Therefore, remarkably, the spin divergence in  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2\text{@C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine was induced by exohedral modification, and these unique spin density distributions derived from the exohedral modification can well explain the coupling constants of Sc nuclei in

$\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct. Notably, although  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine is paramagnetic, the very low spin density on fullerene cage close to the addend could explain the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR signal at  $\delta = 71.62$  ppm for the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled methylene carbon. Again, chemical modification has been proved to be a powerful technique not only to change the configuration of inner cluster, but also to tune the electronic and paramagnetic properties of an endofullerene.

Comparisons of the spin density distributions between paramagnetic trimetallic endofullerenes are helpful to understand the unique ESR properties of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine. The  $\text{Y}_3\text{N}@\text{C}_{80}\text{-I}_h$  fulleropyrrolidine monoanion was reported to be ESR active with hfcc patterns of 6.26 G (two nuclei) and 1.35 G (one nucleus),<sup>[29]</sup> and the unpaired spin was delocalized both on the fullerene cage and on the internal  $\text{Y}_3\text{N}$  cluster.<sup>[29]</sup> The  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N}@\text{C}_{80}$  anion radical was reported to be ESR active with peculiar hfcc pattern of 55.7 G (three nuclei), and the exclusive unpaired spin was proposed to be on the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N}$  cluster.<sup>[30,31]</sup> In contrast, the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{N}@\text{C}_{68}$  cation radical was reported with hfcc of 1.289 G (three nuclei), and its unpaired spin was delocalized both on the  $\text{C}_{68}$  cage and on the endocluster as in the  $\text{Y}_3\text{N}@\text{C}_{80}\text{-I}_h$  fulleropyrrolidine monoanion case.<sup>[32]</sup> From the above examples, we can conclude that the unpaired spin distributions are relevant to the kind of endoclusters and type of fullerene cages.

For  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ , the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster can rotate freely as confirmed by ESR, MEM/Rietveld, and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopic experiments, as well as DFT calculations. This dynamic  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster along with the equivalent coupling between the three Sc atoms and the unpaired electron leads to symmetrical hyperfine splitting of 22 lines.<sup>[14–20]</sup> In contrast, the splitting pattern for  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine suggests that the exohedral addend prominently hinders the free rotation of the endocluster, which leads to inhomogeneous spin density distributions on internal cluster. The peculiar chemical shift difference between the methylene geminal protons also suggests a nonhomogeneous  $\text{C}_{80}$  cage caused by hindered  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  rotation. Unlike the proposed jumping motion for the three Sc atoms in pristine  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$ , intrafullerene motion of Sc atoms in  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine was suggested as oscillation modes by DFT calculation (see the Supporting Information). The  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster oscillates randomly around the equilibrium position; for example, the  $\text{C}_s$  plane of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster can swing to and fro, left and right, as well as around within  $15^\circ$  for the dihedral angles. Therefore, there is no doubt that the unpaired spin is also closely connected to the intrafullerene motion of the endocluster.

In summary, the paramagnetic properties of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  were tuned by exohedral modification. The 5,6-ring junction was determined to be the reaction site for the  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine monoadduct, and the endohedral  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  cluster was deformed by the pyrrolidine addend. Most importantly, the pyrrolidine addend changes the spin density distributions and alters the paramagnetic properties of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine as a result. The dynamics of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2$  endocluster were also discussed to elucidate the variable properties caused by the exohedral addend. Such controllable molecular paramagnetism is of great significance to the construction of novel molecular devices.

## Experimental Section

The synthesis of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  fulleropyrrolidine was carried out in a solution of  $\text{Sc}_3\text{C}_2@\text{C}_{80}$  (5 mg) in *o*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB) with an excess of *N*-ethylglycine (8 mg) and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -enriched (99%) paraformaldehyde (8 mg) at  $108^\circ\text{C}$  for 10 min. The product was isolated and purified by HPLC. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and HMQC spectra were measured in  $\text{CS}_2$  with  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  inside a capillary as an internal lock. All the ESR experiments were performed at room temperature in *o*-DCB.

DFT calculations were investigated by Perdew, Burke, and Enzerhof (PBE)/double numerical plus polarization using the DMol<sup>3</sup> code in Accelrys Materials Studio.<sup>[26,27]</sup>

Received: November 10, 2009

Published online: February 5, 2010

**Keywords:** carbides · endohedral fullerenes · EPR spectroscopy · exohedral addends · Prato reaction

- [1] H. Shinohara, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2000**, 63, 843–892.
- [2] N. Martin, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2093–2104.
- [3] L. Dunsch, S. F. Yang, *Small* **2007**, 3, 1298–1320.
- [4] R. B. Ross, C. M. Cardona, D. M. Guldi, S. G. Sankaranarayanan, M. O. Reese, N. Kopidakis, J. Peet, B. Walker, G. C. Bazan, E. Van Keuren, B. C. Holloway, M. Drees, *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, 8, 208–212.
- [5] M. Mikawa, H. Kato, M. Okumura, M. Narazaki, Y. Kanazawa, N. Miwa, H. Shinohara, *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2001**, 12, 510–514.
- [6] D. W. Cagle, S. J. Kennel, S. Mirzadeh, J. M. Alford, L. J. Wilson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1999**, 96, 5182–5187.
- [7] M. Yamada, T. Nakahodo, T. Wakahara, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Maeda, T. Akasaka, M. Kako, K. Yoza, E. Horn, N. Mizorogi, K. Kobayashi, S. Nagase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 14570–14571.
- [8] T. Akasaka, T. Kono, Y. Matsunaga, T. Wakahara, T. Nakahodo, M. O. Ishitsuka, Y. Maeda, T. Tsuchiya, T. Kato, M. T. H. Liu, N. Mizorogi, Z. Slanina, S. Nagase, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, 112, 1294–1297.
- [9] M. Yamada, C. Someya, T. Wakahara, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Maeda, T. Akasaka, K. Yoza, E. Horn, M. T. H. Liu, N. Mizorogi, S. Nagase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 1171–1176.
- [10] M. Yamada, T. Wakahara, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Maeda, M. Kako, T. Akasaka, K. Yoza, E. Horn, N. Mizorogi, S. Nagase, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 558–560.
- [11] X. F. Li, L. Z. Fan, D. F. Liu, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, S. Yang, K. Tan, X. Lu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 10636–10637.
- [12] X. Lu, J. X. Xu, X. R. He, Z. J. Shi, Z. N. Gu, *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, 16, 953–955.
- [13] B. P. Cao, T. Wakahara, Y. Maeda, A. H. Han, T. Akasaka, T. Kato, K. Kobayashi, S. Nagase, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, 10, 716–720.
- [14] H. Shinohara, H. Sato, M. Ohkohchi, Y. Ando, T. Kodama, T. Shida, T. Kato, Y. Saito, *Nature* **1992**, 357, 52–54.
- [15] H. Shinohara, M. Inakuma, N. Hayashi, H. Sato, Y. Saito, T. Kato, S. Bandow, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, 98, 8597–8599.
- [16] T. Kato, S. Bandow, M. Inakuma, H. Shinohara, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, 99, 856–858.
- [17] Y. Iiduka, T. Wakahara, T. Nakahodo, T. Tsuchiya, A. Sakuraba, Y. Maeda, T. Akasaka, K. Yoza, E. Horn, T. Kato, M. T. H. Liu, N. Mizorogi, K. Kobayashi, S. Nagase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 12500–12501.
- [18] E. Nishibori, I. Terauchi, M. Sakata, M. Takata, Y. Ito, T. Sugai, H. Shinohara, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 19215–19219.
- [19] a) K. Tan, X. Lu, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, 110, 1171–1176; b) S. Taubert, M. Straka, T. O. Pennanen, D. Sundholm, J. Vaara, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, 10, 7158–7168.
- [20] T. Kato, *J. Mol. Struct.* **2007**, 838, 84–88.

- [21] M. Maggini, G. Scorrano, M. Prato, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 9798–9799.
- [22] M. Prato, M. Maggini, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 519–526.
- [23] T. Cai, Z. X. Ge, E. B. Iezzi, T. E. Glass, K. Harich, H. W. Gibson, H. C. Dorn, *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3594–3596.
- [24] C. M. Cardona, A. Kitaygorodskiy, A. Ortiz, M. A. Herranz, L. Echegoyen, *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 5092–5097.
- [25] S. R. Wilson, Q. Lu, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 6496–6498.
- [26] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- [27] B. Delley, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990**, *92*, 508–517.
- [28] M. Yamada, T. Wakahara, T. Nakahodo, T. Tsuchiya, Y. Maeda, T. Akasaka, K. Yoza, E. Horn, N. Mizorogi, S. Nagase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1402–1403.
- [29] L. Echegoyen, C. J. Chancellor, C. M. Cardona, B. Elliott, J. Rivera, M. M. Olmstead, A. L. Balch, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2653–2655.
- [30] P. Jakes, K. Dinse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 8854–8855.
- [31] A. Popov, L. Dunsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 17726–17742.
- [32] a) S. F. Yang, P. Rapt, L. Dunsch, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 189–191; b) P. Rapt, A. A. Popov, S. Yang, L. Dunsch, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 5858–5865.